SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012/IRJPAC/2045**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Determination of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor, Perindopril Erbumine, In Bulk and Tablet Dosage Form with HPLC**

General guideline: Reviewers are requested to follow these guidelines during review: *(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper / case reports)*

- Introduction *(Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)*
- Materials & methods *(Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)*
- Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)
- Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)
- Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?
- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)*
COMPULSORY REVISION comments	**1. Stability and robustness study results should be included.** **2. Section 3.1: Results for different trials conducted during optimization of the method must be discussed.** **3. Line 169 and 174: The linear concentration range is from 16-80 µg/mL while LOQ is 8.2 ng/mL. Clarify this difference.**	Points 1 to 3 are corrected as per the suggestions of the reviewer
Minor REVISION comments	**1. Line 39 and 40: Better as ....2009), which describes a ....** **2. Line 62 and 63: Should be 'Waters' instead of 'Water'** **3. Line 62: Change the sentence as 'Data collection and processing was done using Millennium 32 software'.** **4. Line 65: Give the brand name and company for C8 column used.** **5. Lines 67 and 68: State the purity of all chemicals used.** **6. Lines 74 and 75: Change to ...dihydrogen phosphate in 1000 mL deionized water.** **7. Lines 78-80: Replace these sentences with ' The mobile phase consisted of phosphate buffer, pH 4.5 and acetonitrile (50:50, v/v)'.** **8. Lines 83 and 84: Rewrite the sentence as ...100 mg of PDE in methanol:water (50:50, v/v).**	Points 1 to 13 are corrected as per the suggestions of the reviewer

	9. Line 152: Figure nos. are incorrectly written, please check. Should be figure 4 and 5 instead of figure 3 and 4. Similarly line 156, figure 3 instead of figure 5. Make sure the figure nos. are in the correct order. **10. Line 170: Add SD values in the linear equation for slope and intercept.** **11. Line 188: Table 3 in place of Table 2.** **12. Line 192: Table 3 in place of Table 2.** **13. Line 201: Table 4 in place of Table 3.**	
Optional/General comments		